

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/898,809	07/03/2001	Raghavan Rajagopalan	MRD/63	5120
26875 7	7590 01/13/2006		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			MCKENZIE, THOMAS C	
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			1624	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/898,809	RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	1624		

	Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ne of the data of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			,
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided at the status of the claims (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-14 and 23-33</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected. 12-14 and 23-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is •	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s) 7/3/01	
13. Other:			11/2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Thomas C. McKenz Primary Examiner	zie, Ph.D

Art Unit: 1624

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants submit a number of pieces of evidence that assays for binding to estrogen receptors, neurotensin receptors, somatostatin receptors, bombesin receptors etc are commercially available. This is not the point since Applicants claims limitations are not the receptors but to all molecules binding to these receptors. None of these assays provide any guidance as to the structures all of the molecules binding to these receptors or how those molecules could be made. Other than the process implied by Applicants' namely prepare every possible organic molecule and screen this huge collection against each of the claimed receptors, no process for determining the metes and bounds of Applicants' claims is possible.